

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP
WILLIAM F. ABRAMS (SBN 88805)
2 1900 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2223
3 Telephone: (650) 849-4400
Facsimile: (650) 849-4800
4 E-mail: william.abrams@bingham.com

5 Bingham McCutchen LLP
ROXANNE TORABIAN-BASHARDOUST (SBN 222994)
6 STEPHEN ZAK SMITH (SBN 228913)
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 4400
7 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3109
Telephone: (213) 680-6400
8 Facsimile: (213) 680-6499
E-mail: roxanne.t@bingham.com
9 zak.smith@bingham.com

10 Bingham McCutchen LLP
MICHAEL D. MORTENSON (SBN 247758)
11 600 Anton Boulevard, 18th Floor
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1924
12 Telephone: (714) 830.0600
Facsimile: (714) 830.0700
13 Email: michael.mortenson@bingham.com

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE OF CHILD AND FAMILY
SERVICES
16

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
20

21 CALIFORNIA ALLIANCE OF CHILD AND
FAMILY SERVICES,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 CLIFF ALLENBY, Interim Director of the
California Department of Social Services, in his
25 official capacity; MARY AULT, Deputy Director
of the Children and Family Services Division of
26 the California Department of Social Services, in
her official capacity,

27 Defendants.
28

No. C 06-4095 MHP

**PLAINTIFF CALIFORNIA
ALLIANCE OF CHILD AND
FAMILY SERVICES'S MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: August 27, 2007
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Place: Ctrm. 15, 18th Floor
Judge: The Hon. Marilyn H. Patel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED	3
III. STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS	3
IV. ARGUMENT	4
A. Legal Standard For Summary Judgment.....	4
B. When A State Statute Does Not Comply With A Federal Right, The State Statute Must Fail As A Matter of Law.....	5
C. The Child Welfare Act Confers A Federal Right Under 42 U.S.C. §1983.....	6
1. Congress Intended For The Payments Under The Child Welfare Act to Benefit Foster Care Institutions	7
2. The Rights That The Alliance Is Seeking To Enforce Under The Child Welfare Act Are Not Vague Or Amorphous.....	10
3. The Rights Are Mandatory	11
D. The Rate Classification Level System Established By California Statute Does Not Comply With The Federal Child Welfare Act.....	11
1. The Child Welfare Act Requires Specific Payments To Foster Care Providers	11
2. The California Statute Superficially Seems To Comply With The Child Welfare Act, But That Is Not The Case	12
3. The State Fails To Comply With Federal Law By Refusing To Cover The Costs Required Under The Child Welfare Act	13
E. The Alliance Should Receive Injunctive And Declaratory Relief For Defendants' Failure To Comply With The Child Welfare Act.....	15
V. CONCLUSION	15

